# ANOVA: <br> Comparing More Than Two Means 

### 10.1 ANOVA: The Completely Randomized Design

To complete this section of homework watch Chapter Ten, Lecture Examples: 151 A, 151 B, 151tech, 152, and 152tech.

1. Clothing manufacturers use a wear-testing machine to measure different fabrics' ability to withstand abrasion. The wear of the material is measured by weighing the clothing after it has been through the wear-testing machine. A manufacturer wants to determine if there is a difference between the average weight loss among four different materials. The experiment is done by using four samples of each kind of material. The samples were tested in a completely randomized order. The weights are listed below. Use the data below and a $1 \%$ significance level to construct an ANOVA table and to determine if at least one fabric is significantly different from the others. N. VS Note: $\sum y_{i}^{2}=92.9719$

|  | Fabric |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C | D |
|  | 1.93 | 2.55 | 2.40 | 2.33 |
|  | 2.38 | 2.72 | 2.68 | 2.40 |
|  | 2.20 | 2.75 | 2.31 | 2.28 |
|  | 2.25 | 2.70 | 2.28 | 2.25 |
| Totals | 8.76 | 10.72 | 9.67 | 9.26 |

2. Clothing manufacturers use a wear-testing machine to measure different fabrics' ability to withstand abrasion. The wear of the material is measured by weighing the clothing after it has been through the wear-testing machine. A manufacturer wants to determine if there is a difference between the average weight loss among four different materials. The experiment is done by using eight samples of each kind of material. The samples were tested in a completely randomized order. The weights are listed below. Use the ANOVA display below and a $1 \%$ significance level determine if at least one fabric is significantly different from the others.

| Factor Fabric | Type fixed | $\begin{array}{cr} \text { Levels } \\ \text { d } & 4 \end{array}$ |  | $C, D$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analys <br> Source | $s \text { of }$ DF | Variance SS | for Wei MS | ht |  |
| Fabric | 3 | 5.7153 | 1.9051 | 6.46 | 0.002 |
| Error | 28 | 8.2605 | 0.2950 |  |  |
| Total | 31 | 13.9758 |  |  |  |

a) What is the null and alternative hypotheses for this ANOVA procedure?
b) What is the $p$-value for this test?
c) What is the value of the test statistic for this test?
d) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the p-value from the test
e) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the four fabric types wear the same? In other words, do the fabrics seem to lose the same amount of material during the tests?
f) If the fabric types do not all wear the same, can we determine which fabrics differ from each other significantly using the provided results alone?
3. A study was conducted to determine the factor that reduces blood pressure the most: medication, diet, or exercise. Fifteen patients at a hospital with comparable levels of high blood pressure are randomly assigned to each treatment group. After eight weeks, the drop in systolic blood pressure for each patient was measured. Use the data below and a $5 \%$ significance level to construct an ANOVA table to test the claim that all three of the treatments produce the same drop in blood pressure. N.. VS Note: $\sum y_{i}=121$ and $\sum y_{i}^{2}=1,161$

| Treatment |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Medication | Exercise | Diet |
| 11 | 7 | 12 |
| 10 | 8 | 6 |
| 8 | 4 | 10 |
| 14 | 2 | 8 |
| 13 | 3 | 5 |

4. A study was conducted to determine the factor that reduces blood pressure the most: medication, diet, or exercise. Thirty patients at a hospital with comparable levels of high blood pressure are randomly assigned to each treatment group. After eight weeks, the drop in systolic blood pressure for each patient was measured. Use the computer output below and a 5\% significance level to test the claim that all three of the treatments produce the same drop in blood pressure.

a) What is the null and alternative hypotheses for this ANOVA procedure?
b) What critical value would be used for the test of the null hypothesis?
c) Why is there a pooled standard deviation reported?
d) What is the connection between the pooled standard deviation and the provided MSE?
e) What is the $p$-value for this test?
f) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the p-value from the test.
g) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the three treatments lower blood pressure equally on average?
h) If the treatments do not all have the same effect on blood pressure levels, can we determine which treatments differ from each other significantly using the provided results alone?
5. Glue Strength: Four adhesives that are used to fix porcelain to teeth are tested in a completely randomized design. The experiment bonds porcelain to teeth and then a machine is used to pry the tooth from the porcelain. The amount of force needed to do this for each bond is recorded. Use the results below and a 1\% significance level to construct an ANOVA table to test the claim that there is a significant difference between the bonding strengths. VS 2

$$
\left(\sum y_{i}=5,572 \text { and } \sum y_{i}^{2}=1,324,754\right)
$$

|  | Adhesive |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 204 | 197 | 264 | 248 |
|  | 181 | 223 | 226 | 138 |
|  | 203 | 232 | 249 | 220 |
|  | 262 | 207 | 255 | 304 |
|  | 230 | 223 | 237 | 268 |
|  | 288 | 197 | 240 | 276 |
| Totals | 1368 | 1279 | 1471 | 1454 |

6. Glue Strength: Four adhesives that are used to fix porcelain to teeth are tested in a completely randomized design. The experiment bonds porcelain to teeth and then a machine is used to pry the tooth from the porcelain. The amount of force needed to do this for each bond is recorded. Complete the ANOVA table below and use a $1 \%$ significance level to test the claim that there is a significant difference between the bonding strengths.

| Factor Glue | Type <br> fixed | Levels |  | Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis of Variance for Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Sig. |
| Glue |  | 3884 |  |  | 0.476 |
| Error |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 39 | 58766 |  |  |  |
| Pooled S = ? |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Fill in the missing parts of the given ANOVA table.
b) What is the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis this ANOVA procedure is testing?
c) What degrees of freedom for the critical value would be used for the test of the null hypothesis?
d) What was the value of the pooled standard deviation used during this procedure?
e) What is the $p$-value for this test?
f) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the $p$-value from the test.
g) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the four adhesives have the same bonding strength?
7. The time it takes for three brands of caulk to fully dry is studied by a construction contractor. Six beads from each of the three brands are randomly placed in the same space in a bathroom. The time for each bead to dry is listed below. Form an ANOVA table and use a $5 \%$ significance level to test the claim there is a difference between the drying times for the different brands of caulk.
ㅇ. VS $\sum y_{i}=438.8$

|  | Brand |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C |
|  | 24.7 | 22.1 | 25.7 |
|  | 28.6 | 20.2 | 24.3 |
|  | 25.1 | 21.1 | 23.6 |
|  | 25.3 | 23.5 | 26.1 |
|  | 26.0 | 22.8 | 26.9 |
|  | 25.9 | 22.7 | 24.2 |
| Totals | 155.6 | 132.4 | 150.8 |

$$
\sum y_{i}^{2}=10,772.4
$$

8. The time it takes for three brands of caulk to fully dry is studied by a construction contractor. Eleven beads from each of the three brands are randomly placed in the same space in a bathroom. The time for each bead to dry is listed below. Complete the provided ANOVA table and use a $5 \%$ significance level to test the claim there is a difference between the drying times for the different brands of caulk.

| FactorCaulk | Type | Levels | Values |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fixed | 3 | , B, |  |  |
| Analysis of Variance for Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F |  |
| Caulk |  |  |  |  | 0.000 |
| Error |  | . 464 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | . 530 |  |  |  |

a) Fill in the missing parts of the given ANOVA table.
b) What is the null hypothesis this ANOVA procedure is testing?
c) What critical value would be used for the test of the null hypothesis?
d) What was the value of the pooled standard deviation used during this procedure?
e) What is the p-value for this test?
f) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the $p$-value from the test.
g) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the three caulks take the same length of time to dry?
h) If the caulks do not all have the same average drying time, can we determine which caulks differ significantly with regard to the time it takes for them to dry?
9. The table below provides the number of pounds lost by 16 different athletes who were each randomly assigned to one of four weight loss treatments (three different weight loss supplements and a placebo). Use the data below and a $2.5 \%$ significance level to test for differences between the four treatments. Do these supplements seem to work? Form an ANOVA table as part of the test. VS 븐 $\quad \sum y_{i}^{2}=723$

| Treatment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Placebo | SupA | SupB | SupC |
| 1 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| 4 | 6 | 11 | 3 |
| 2 | 7 | 14 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 8 | 5 |

10. Researchers recorded the number of pounds lost by 32 different athletes who were each randomly assigned to one of four weight loss treatments (three different weight loss supplements and a placebo). Complete the ANOVA table below and use a $2.5 \%$ significance level to test for differences between the four treatments.

a) Fill in the missing parts of the given ANOVA table.
b) What is the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis this ANOVA procedure is testing?
c) What critical value would be used for the test of the null hypothesis?
d) What was the value of the pooled standard deviation used during this procedure?
e) What is the $p$-value for this test?
i) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the test statistic and critical value for the test.
f) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the four weight loss treatments produce the same average weight loss?
g) Does the conclusion of this test indicate that some or all of these tested supplements work better than a sugar pill?
11. Orange trees at a citrus farm near Orlando were randomly assigned to one of three new fertilizers or the traditional fertilizer being used already. The new fertilizers are supposed to produce heavier oranges. At the $2.5 \%$ significance level, test the claim that at least one of the new fertilizers produce a heavier orange. Form an ANOVA table as part of the test. $\sum y_{i}=4,228$ $\sum y_{i}^{2}=772,746$

|  | Fertilizers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Old | New A | New B | New C |
|  | 123 | 201 | 144 | 220 |
|  | 145 | 200 | 146 | 217 |
|  | 201 | 210 | 165 | 210 |
|  | 154 | 185 | 192 | 106 |
|  | 168 | 190 | 154 | 234 |
| Totals | 918 | 1154 | 153 | 215 |

12. Orange trees at a citrus farm near Orlando were randomly assigned to one of three new fertilizers or the traditional fertilizer being used already. The new fertilizers are supposed to produce heavier oranges. At the $2.5 \%$ significance level, test the claim that at least one of the new fertilizers produce a heavier orange.

a) Fill in the missing parts of the given ANOVA table.
b) What are the hypotheses this ANOVA procedure is testing?
c) What critical value would be used for the test of the null hypothesis?
d) What was the value of the pooled standard deviation used during this procedure?
e) What is the $p$-value for this test?
f) What is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the test statistic and critical value for the test.
g) Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the four fertilizers produce oranges that have the same average weight?
13. Eighteen identical batteries were randomly assigned to operate in different temperatures to see if there were any differences in their lifetimes under the different conditions. Use the table below to determine if temperature effects the lifetime of batteries (in hours). Form an ANOVA table as part of the test. $\sum y_{i}=478.8$ and $\sum y_{i}^{2}=14,741$

|  | Temp (Fahrenheit) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $40^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  | 36.3 | 32.2 | 12.3 |
|  | 42.2 | 31.6 | 15.2 |
|  | 30.1 | 23.4 | 16.0 |
|  | 38.2 | 28.9 | 15.9 |
|  | 40.0 | 27.7 | 13.1 |
|  | 41.6 | 24.7 | 9.4 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{2 2 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 9}$ |

14. Complete the ANOVA table below for a CRD experiment: VS

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 3 | 1843.67 |  |  |
| Error |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 1947.34 |  |  |

15. Complete the ANOVA table below for a CRD experiment: VS

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 |  |  |  |
| Error | 12 | 23.572 |  |  |
| Total |  | 99.693 |  |  |

16. Consider the ANOVA table below for a CRD experiment. What do you conclude about the claim that the treatment means are all equal?

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fertilizers | 2 | 1096 | 548 |  |
| Error | 24 | 1440 | 60 |  |
| Total | 26 | 2536 |  |  |

### 10.1 Answers

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{D}
$$

$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Material | 3 | 0.520 | 0.173 | 8.534 |  |
| Error | 12 | 0.244 | 0.020 |  |  |
| Total | 15 | 0.764 |  |  |  |

## Critical Value: 5.953

Since $F>$ Critical value, reject the null and support the alternative. At least one pair of the fabrics wears differently.
2.

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{D}
$$

a. $H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others
b. $p$-value $=0.002$
c. Test statistic: $\mathrm{F}=6.46$
d. Reject the null hypothesis since the p-value is smaller than alpha ( $0.002<0.01$ )
e. Since we rejected the null hypothesis that says they all wear the same, the fabrics do not seem to wear the same.
f. It is not possible to determine which fabrics differ significantly from each other. We would need a multiple comparison procedure, which is covered in the next section.
3.

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{M}=\mu_{E}=\mu_{D}
$$

$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 | 102.53 | 51.267 | 7.466 |  |
| Error | 12 | 82.4 | 6.867 |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 184.93 |  |  |  |

indicates the exercise has a video devoted to it in the corresponding section of STATSprofessor.com

Critical Value: 3.8853; Since F > Critical value, reject the null and support the alternative. The sample data allows us to reject the claim that all the treatments produce the same drop in blood pressure. In other words, at least one pair of the treatments reduce blood pressure differently.
4. Solution:

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{M}=\mu_{E}=\mu_{D}
$$

a.
$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others
b. $\quad F_{2,27,0.05}=3.3541$
c. Part of the assumptions for the ANOVA CRD experiment is that all of the $k$ samples come from populations with the same variance (and thus they have the same standard deviations), so Minitab has provided an estimate of the common standard deviation.
d. The pooled $S$ is the same as the square root of the MSE. In other words, the MSE is an estimate of the pooled variance. $S_{\text {pooled }}^{2}=M S E$
e. $p$-value $=0.001$
f. Reject the null hypothesis since the $p$-value is smaller than alpha ( $0.001<0.05$ )
g. Since we rejected the null hypothesis that says they all reduce BP the same on average, the treatments do not seem to work the same.
h. It is not possible to determine which treatments differ significantly from each other. We would need a multiple comparison procedure, which is covered in the next section.
5.
$H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}$
$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others.

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adhesive | 3 | 3904.33 | 1301.44 | 0.956 |  |
| Error | 20 | 27217 | 1360.85 |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 31121.33 |  |  |  |

Critical Value: 4.938; Since F < Critical value, do not reject the null and do not support the alternative. The sample data does not support the claim that at least one pair of the adhesives bond differently.
6. Solution:

```
    a. Factor Type Levels Values
    Glue fixed 4 A, B, C, D
```

| Analysis of Variance for Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Sig. |
| Glue | 3 | 3884 | 1294.7 | 0.849 | 0.476 |
| Error | 36 | 54882 | 1524.5 |  |  |
| Total | 39 | 58766 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pooled S }=39.045 \\
& H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others.
c. Three for the numerator and 36 for the denominator.
d. Pooled $S=39.045$
e. $P$-value $=0.476$
f. Do not reject the null hypothesis since the $p$-value is larger than alpha ( $0.476>0.01$ )
g. It seems they all have the same strength, because we cannot reject the idea that each of the four adhesives bond with the same strength.
7.

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}
$$

$H_{A}:$ At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 | 49.991 | 24.996 | 14.738 |  |
| Error | 15 | 25.44 | 1.696 |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 75.431 |  |  |  |

Critical Value: 3.6823; Since F > Critical value, reject the null and support the alternative. The sample data allows us to support the claim that at least one pair of the caulk brands dry at different rates.
8. a.

```
Factor Type Levels Values
Caulk fixed 3 A, B, C
```

Analysis of Variance for Time

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Caulk | 2 | 65.066 | 32.533 | 21.950 | 0.000 |
| Error | 30 | 44.464 | 1.482 |  |  |
| Total | 32 | 109.530 |  |  |  |

Pooled S = 1.2174
$H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}$
b. $\quad H_{A}:$ At least one mean significantly differs from the others
c. $\quad F_{2,30,0.05}=3.3158$
d. Pooled $S=1.2174$
e. $P$-value $=0.000$
f. Reject the null hypothesis since the $p$-value is smaller than alpha ( $0.000<0.05$ )
g. Since we rejected the null hypothesis that says they all take the same time to dry on average, the caulks do not seem to dry at the same speed.
h. It is not possible to determine which caulks differ significantly from each other using this alone. We would need a multiple comparison procedure, which is covered in the next section.
9. $\quad H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{P}$
$H_{A}:$ At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Material | 3 | 150.69 | 50.23 | 11.009 |  |
| Error | 12 | 54.75 | 4.5625 |  |  |
| Total | 15 | 205.44 |  |  |  |

Critical Value: 4.4742; Since F > Critical value, reject the null and support the alternative. The sample data supports the claim that at least one pair of the weight loss supplements reduce weight in different average amounts. Yes, it seems that at least one of the supplements works.
10. a.

| Factor | Type | Levels | Values |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Supplement | fixed | 4 | $A, B, C, P$ |

Analysis of Variance for Weight Loss

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Supplement | 3 | 1.203 | 0.401 | 0.349 | 0.790 |

Error $28 \quad 32.1891 .1496$

Total 3133.392
Pooled S $=1.0722$

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{P}
$$

b. $H_{A}:$ At least one mean significantly differs from the others
c. $\quad F_{3,28,0.025}=3.6264$
d. Pooled $S=1.0722$
e. $P$-value $=0.790$
f. Do not reject the null hypothesis since the F-test stat, 0.3488 is less than the critical value 3.6264 . We only reject if the test stat is larger than the critical value.
g. It seems they all work the same, because we cannot reject the null hypothesis that says the means are all equal.
h. Since the experiment included a placebo (a sugar pill), it seems each of the supplements work no better than a sugar pill. If this is in fact reality, the only benefit to the supplements would be the placebo effect.
$H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{O}$
$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Material | 3 | 10060.67 | 3353.56 | 3.757 |  |
| Error | 20 | 17852.67 | 892.63 |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 27913.34 |  |  |  |

Critical Value: 3.8587; Since F < Critical value, Do not reject the null and do not support the alternative. The sample data does not support the claim that at least one of the fertilizers produces a heavier orange.
12. a.

```
Factor Type Levels Values
Fertilizer fixed 4 A, B, C, O
```

Analysis of Variance for Weight

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fertilizer | 3 | 1202.8 | 400.93 | 0.948 | 0.427 |
| Error | 40 | 16922.0 | 423.05 |  |  |
| Total | 43 | 18124.8 |  |  |  |

Pooled S $=20.568$

$$
H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{O}
$$

b. $H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others
c. $\quad F_{3,40,0.025}=3.4633$
d. Pooled $S=20.568$
e. $P$-value $=0.427$
f. Do not reject the null hypothesis since the F-test stat, 0.948 is less than the critical value 3.4633. We only reject if the test stat is larger than the critical value.
g. It seems all the fertilizers work the same, because we cannot reject the null hypothesis that says the means are all equal. This means the original fertilizer is just as effective as the new ones.
13. $\quad H_{0}: \mu_{40}=\mu_{80}=\mu_{120}$
$H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 | 1808.32 | 904.16 | 68.986 |  |
| Error | 15 | 196.60 | 13.11 |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 2004.92 |  |  |  |

Critical Value: 3.6823 (using 5\% as a default alpha value); Since F > Critical value, reject the null and support the alternative. The sample data allows us to support the claim that at least one temperature effects the lifetime of a battery.
14.

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 3 | 1843.67 | 614.56 | 118.56 |
| Error | 20 | 103.67 | 5.1835 |  |
| Total | 23 | 1947.34 |  |  |

15. 

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 | 76.121 | 38.061 | 19.376 |
| Error | 12 | 23.572 | 1.964 |  |
| Total | 14 | 99.693 |  |  |

16. $\mathrm{F}=9.133$, Critical Value: $F_{2,24,0.05}=3.4028$; Reject the null; The sample data allows us to reject the claim...

## Need more exercises?

### 10.2 Multiple Comparisons of Means

To complete this section of homework watch Chapter Ten, Lecture Examples 153, 154, 155, 156, 157A, 157B, 157.4, 157.5, and 157.6.
17. Confidence intervals for the difference between two means $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ are provided below. For each part, which mean is significantly larger?
A. (-1.25, -0.52)
B. $(8.3,11.6)$
C. $(-2.3,4.6)$

VS
18. If a completely randomized design experiment involves $k$ treatments, for each part below, determine the number of comparisons of treatment means that will need to be made in a multiple comparison procedure.
A. $k=3$
B. $k=5$
C. $\mathrm{k}=8$
D. $k=11$

VS
19. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing four treatment means produced the confidence intervals shown below. Rank the means from smallest to largest. Indicate which means are significantly different. VS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}:(2,15) \\
& \mu_{1}-\mu_{3}:(4,7) \\
& \mu_{1}-\mu_{4}:(-10,3) \\
& \mu_{2}-\mu_{3}:(-5,11) \\
& \mu_{2}-\mu_{4}:(-12,-6) \\
& \mu_{3}-\mu_{4}:(-8,-5)
\end{aligned}
$$

20. A multiple comparison procedure for comparing four treatment means produced the confidence intervals shown below. Rank the means from smallest to largest. Indicate which means are significantly different. VS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{A}-\mu_{B}:(-8,2) \\
& \mu_{A}-\mu_{C}:(3,6) \\
& \mu_{A}-\mu_{D}:(14,17) \\
& \mu_{B}-\mu_{C}:(5,15) \\
& \mu_{B}-\mu_{D}:(6,11) \\
& \mu_{C}-\mu_{D}:(-2,9)
\end{aligned}
$$

21. A multiple comparison procedure is conducted and the results are given below. The means have been ranked from smallest to largest. Interpret the results and state the number of comparisons made. $\overline{D B} A C$ VS
22. In a CRD experiment at a significance level of $5 \%$ with a balanced design (i.e.- all the treatment sample sizes are the same) the $F$ statistic turns out to be 2.29 which has a p-value of 0.1769 . What multiple comparison procedure should be used? Vig
23. In a CRD experiment at a significance level of $5 \%$ with a balanced design (i.e.- all the treatment sample sizes are the same) the F statistic turns out to be 14.40 which has a p-value of 0.0038 . What multiple comparison procedure should be used?
24. In a CRD experiment at a significance level of $1 \%$ with an unbalanced design (i.e.- all the treatment sample sizes are not the same) the $F$ statistic turns out to be 11.42 which has a $p$-value of 0.0068 . What multiple comparison procedure should be used? N.
25. Which multiple comparison procedure produces the shortest interval widths? The second shortest interval widths? The widest interval widths?
26. The time it takes for three brands of caulk to fully dry was studied by a construction contractor. Eleven beads from each of the three brands were randomly placed in the same space in a bathroom and then timed as they dried. Using the provided graph below, rank the means from smallest to largest, and indicate which means are significantly different.

## Interval Plot of Time vs Caulk

95\% CI for the Mean


The pooled standard deviation is used to calculate the intervals.
27. Clothing manufacturers use a wear-testing machine to measure different fabrics' ability to withstand abrasion. The wear of the material is measured by weighing the clothing after it has been through the wear-testing machine. A manufacturer wants to determine which material loses the least amount of weight among the four tested materials. Using the provided graph below, rank the means from smallest to largest, and indicate which means are significantly different.

## Interval Plot of Weight vs Fabric

95\% CI for the Mean


The pooled standard deviation is used to calculate the intervals.

### 10.2 Answers

17. A. mean 2 is larger
B. Mean 1 is larger
C. The means are not significantly different.
18. A. 3
B. 10
C. 28
D. 55
19. $\overline{32} \overline{14}$
20. $\overline{\mathrm{DC}} \overline{\mathrm{AB}}$
21. 6 comparisons were made, and the results are as follows: $C$ is significantly larger than every other mean. A is significantly larger than B and D. B's sample mean was larger than D's, but not significantly.
22. You do not need to make multiple comparisons because with a p-value of 0.1769 the null cannot be rejected at a $5 \%$ significance level. This means that we cannot say a significant difference exists among the means, so there is no need to rank them.
23. Tukey is the best option for a balanced design.
24. Bonferroni is the best option for an unbalanced design.
25. 

Tukey ---------Equal Pairwise (shortest)

Bonferroni ----Equal or Unequal Pairwise (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ shortest)

Scheffe` ------Equal or Unequal General Contrasts (widest)
26. $B \overline{C A}$
27. $\overline{D B C A}$

Need more exercises?

### 10.3 ANOVA: The Randomized Block Design

To complete this section of homework watch Chapter Ten, Lecture Examples 158 A, 158 B, 158tech, 159, and 159tech.
28. To test tire tread loss for four different brands of tires, researchers put the four tires on six different cars. In order to avoid the confounding of driver differences, car differences, and tire differences, they decide to put one tire of each brand onto each car. This way, each car has all four tires on it. The four different tires are then placed on each car in random order. Each car will be driven for 30,000 miles and then the tires will be measured for tread thickness. The loss in thickness will be recorded. Computer output has provided a partial ANOVA table for the RBD experiment. Complete the ANOVA table and answer the questions that follow:

## Factor Information

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Tire Brand | 4 | A, B, C, D |
| Car | 6 | $1,2,3,4,5,6$ |

Analysis of Variance

|  |  |  | F- |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | Value | P-Value |
| Tire Brand | $?$ | 174.167 | $?$ | $?$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Car | $?$ | 11.000 | 2.2000 | $?$ | 0.6709 |
| Error | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 236.500 |  |  |  |

a. What is the null hypothesis for this ANOVA procedure to test if the tire brands wear differently?
b. What is the $p$-value for this test?
c. What is the value of the test statistic for this test?
d. At the $1 \%$ significance level, what is the decision regarding the null hypothesis? Justify this decision using the $p$-value from the test.
e. Based on the results of this experiment, do each of the four tire brands wear the same?
29. To test tire tread loss for four different brands of tires, researchers put the four tires on four different cars. In order to avoid the confounding of driver differences, car differences, and tire differences, they decide to put one tire of each brand onto each car. This way, each car has all four tires on it. The four different tires are then placed on each car in random order. Each car will be driven for 30,000 miles and then the tires will be measured for tread thickness. The loss in thickness will be recorded. The data for this RBD experiment is included below. At the 5\% significance level, test the claim that the tires do not all wear the same.

| Car | Brand Tires |  |  |  | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C | D |  |
| I | 17 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 56 |
| II | 14 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 51 |
| III | 13 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 47 |
| IV | 13 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 39 |
| Totals | 57 | 49 | 43 | 44 | 193 |
| $\sum y^{2}$ | 823 | 625 | 469 | 492 | 2409 |

30. Complete the following ANOVA table, which was produced by a randomized block design experiment, then use it to answer the following questions:

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatments | 4 | 501 |  |  |
| Blocks | 2 | 225 |  |  |
| Error | 8 | 110 |  |  |
| Total | 14 |  |  |  |

a. How many blocks and treatments were used in the experiment?
b. How many observations were made in the experiment?
c. What null and alternative hypothesis would be used in comparing the treatment means?
d. What test stat would be used when comparing the treatment means?
e. What would the rejection region be for the test?
f. What is the conclusion for the hypothesis test comparing the treatment means?
31. The effects of four types of graphite coater on light-box readings are to be studied. Since readings will differ from day to day, observations are taken on each of the four types every day. The results are as follows: $\underline{\text { Vs }}$

|  | Graphite coater type |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Day | M | A | K | L |  |
| 1 | 4 | 4.8 | 5 | 4.6 |  |
| 2 | 4.8 | 5 | 5.2 | 4.6 |  |
| 3 | 4 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5 |  |

(Note: $S S_{\text {total }}=2.2766667$ and $\sum y_{i}=57.4$ )
Create the ANOVA table for this data and at the $5 \%$ level test the claim that all of the graphite coaters produce the same average light-box readings.
32. Test anxiety can hinder academic performance, so a researcher wants to compare the effectiveness of three treatments to reduce test anxiety. The procedure is used on 7 different students. Complete the provided ANOVA display and answer the following questions:

## Factor Information

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Treatment | 3 | BetaBlocker, Meditation, ValerianRoot |
| Subject | 7 | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ |

Analysis of Variance

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treatment | $?$ | 0.4610 | $?$ | $?$ | 0.8308 |
| Subject | $?$ | 25.2362 | $?$ | $?$ | 0.0327 |
| Error | 12 | $?$ | $?$ |  |  |
| Total | $?$ | 40.3895 |  |  |  |

a. What is the null hypothesis for this ANOVA procedure to test if the treatments affect anxiety?
b. What is the critical value for the test of the treatment effect (use $\alpha=0.05$ )?
c. What is the value of the test statistic for the test of the treatment effect?
d. What is the p -value for the test of the treatment effect?
e. At the $5 \%$ significance level, can we reject the claim that the three different treatments reduce anxiety equally? Justify your answer using the critical value method.
f. At the $5 \%$ significance level, can we conclude that different subjects experience anxiety at different levels? Justify this decision using the appropriate $p$-value from the ANOVA display.
33. Test anxiety can hinder academic performance, so a researcher wants to compare the effectiveness of three treatments to reduce test anxiety. The procedure is used on 5 different students. Use the resulting data below and a $1 \%$ significance level to test the claim that the three different methods reduce anxiety equally. 옴 VS

|  | Anxiety level on a visual-analogue scale |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject | Beta Blocker | Valerian Root | Meditation | Totals |
| 1 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 10.6 |
| 3 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 12.2 |
| 4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4 | 12.4 |
| 5 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 7.9 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

(Note: $S S_{\text {total }}=15.569333$ and $\sum y_{i}=48.1$ )
34. Grocery costs vary for different families, but a researcher wants to study the weekly cost of groceries for typical Florida families at four different grocery chains in South Florida. To do this,
the researcher looks at weekly costs for groceries at the four stores for seven different families. Each family will be randomly assigned to visit a different store each week, and each family will visit each store only once during the month long study period. Complete the provided ANOVA display and answer the questions that follow:

## Factor Information

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Store | 4 | Costco, Publix, Target, WholeFoods |
| Family | 7 | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ |

Analysis of Variance

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Store | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Family | $?$ | 5863.5 | $?$ | $?$ | 0.1814 |
| Error | $?$ | $?$ | 611.20 |  |  |
| Total | $?$ | 43888.6 |  |  |  |

a. What is the null hypothesis for this ANOVA procedure to test the claim that the four stores have different average grocery prices?
b. What is the critical value for the test of the store effect (use $\alpha=0.025$ )?
c. What is the value of the test statistic for the test of the store effect?
d. What is the $p$-value for the test of the store effect?
e. At the $2.5 \%$ significance level, can we support the claim that the four different stores have different average prices? Justify your answer using either the p-value method or the critical value method.
35. Grocery costs vary for different families, but a researcher wants to study the weekly cost of groceries for typical Florida families at four different grocery chains in South Florida. To do this, the researcher looks at weekly costs for groceries at the four stores for four different families. Each family will visit a different one of the four stores to shop each week for a month. The families will randomly be assigned to the stores each week. Use the data below and a $2.5 \%$ significance level to test the claim that the four stores have different average grocery prices. VS

|  | Store |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Family | Publix | Target | Costco | Whole Foods |
| 1 | 210 | 195 | 200 | 315 |
| 2 | 300 | 250 | 275 | 400 |
| 3 | 176 | 171 | 189 | 223 |
| 4 | 148 | 127 | 130 | 162 |

(Note: $S S_{\text {total }}=83328.9375$ and $\sum y_{i}=3471$ )
36. Complete the following ANOVA display and answer the following questions:

Analysis of Variance

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treatment | 3 | 6172.2 | $?$ | $?$ | 0.0007 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Block | 4 | $?$ | $?$ | 1.55 | 0.2505 |
| Error | $?$ | $?$ | 173.11 |  |  |
| Total | 19 | 9321.8 |  |  |  |

a. How many treatments did this RBD experiment involve?
b. How many blocks did this RBD experiment involve?
c. How many total measurements were made during the experiment $(\mathrm{n}=$ ? $)$ ?
d. Was the treatment effect significant?
e. Were the blocks significant?
37. Calculate the $S S_{\text {total }}$ for the following RBD data: 은 VS

|  | Scale |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inspector | I | II | III |  |
| 1 | 16 | 10 | 11 |  |
| 2 | 15 | 9 | 14 |  |
| 3 | 13 | 11 | 13 |  |

### 10.3 Answers

28. Solution:

F-

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tire Brand | 3 | 174.167 | 58.056 | 16.965 | $<0.0001$ |
| $\quad$ Car | 5 | 11.000 | 2.2000 | 0.643 | 0.6709 |
| Error | 15 | 51.333 | 3.4222 |  |  |
| Total | 23 | 236.500 |  |  |  |

a. $H_{0}: \mu_{A}=\mu_{B}=\mu_{C}=\mu_{D}$
b. the p -value is less than 0.0001
c. $F=16.965$
d. Reject the null hypothesis since the $p$-value is smaller than alpha $(0.000<0.01)$
e. Since we rejected the null hypothesis that says they all wear same on average, the tires do not seem to wear at the same rate.

9

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 29. ANOVA table } \\
& \mathrm{CF}=2328.0625, S S_{\text {total }}=2409-\mathrm{CF}=80.9375, \mathrm{SST}=2358.75-\mathrm{CF}=30.6875 \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{SSB}=2366.75-\mathrm{CF}=38.6875, \mathrm{SSE}=80.9375-30.6875-38.6875 \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \text { Source } & \mathrm{df} & \mathrm{SS} & \mathrm{MS} & \mathrm{~F} \\
\hline \text { Tires } & 3 & 30.6875 & 10.22917 & 7.962 \\
\hline \text { Car } & 3 & 38.6875 & 12.89583 & 10.038 \\
\hline \text { Error } & 9 & 11.5625 & 1.284722 & \\
\hline \text { Total } & 15 & 80.9375 & & \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \text { Critical Value: } F_{3,9,0.05}=3.8625
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the test stat for tires is in the rejection region, we can reject the null and support the claim that the tires do not all wear the same.
30. Solution:
a) $B=3, t=5$
b) 15
$H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\mu_{4}=\mu_{5}$
c) $H_{A}$ : At least one mean significantly differs from the others.
d) $\frac{M S T}{M S E}=\frac{125.25}{13.75}=9.109$
e) $F_{4,8,0.05}=3.8379$
f) At least two means differ significantly.
31. ANOVA table
$C F=274.563, S S T=\frac{12.8^{2}}{3}+\frac{14.6^{2}}{3}+\frac{15.8^{2}}{3}+\frac{14.2^{2}}{3}-C F=1.5303$
$S S B=\frac{18.4^{2}}{4}+\frac{19.6^{2}}{4}+\frac{19.4^{2}}{4}-C F=0.207$

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coater | 3 | 1.5303 | 0.5101 | 5.674 |
| Day | 2 | 0.207 | 0.1035 | 1.151 |
| Error | 6 | 0.5394 | 0.0899 |  |
| Total | 11 | 2.276667 |  |  |

Critical Value: $F_{3,6,0.05}=4.7571$

Since the test stat for coatings is in the rejection region, we can reject the null and support the alternative hypothesis. The coaters do not seem to all have the same light-box readings.

## 32. Solution:

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treatment | 2 | 0.4610 | 0.2305 | 0.188 | 0.8308 |
| $\quad$ Subject | 6 | 25.2362 | 4.2060 | 3.435 | 0.0327 |
| Error | 12 | 14.6923 | 1.2244 |  |  |
| Total | 20 | 40.3895 |  |  |  |

a. $\quad H_{0}: \mu_{B}=\mu_{M}=\mu_{V}$
b. $\quad F_{2,12,0.05}=3.8853$
c. $F=0.188$
d. $p$-value $=0.8308$
e. Do not reject the null hypothesis since the F-test stat, 0.188 is less than the critical value 3.8853. We only reject if the test stat is larger than the critical value. It seems they all work the same, because we cannot reject the null hypothesis that says the means are all equal.
f. Yes, it appears that individual subjects have different levels of anxiety, since we can reject the null hypothesis that says they experience the same levels on average ( $p$-value 0.0327 < alpha 0.05 ).
33. ANOVA table
$C F=154.241$

| Source | df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatment | 2 | 1.369 | 0.685 | 5.566 |
| Subject | 4 | 13.216 | 3.304 | 26.862 |
| Error | 8 | 0.984 | 0.123 |  |
| Total | 14 | 15.569 |  |  |

Critical Value: $F_{2,8,0.01}=8.649$
Since the test stat for treatments is not in the rejection region, we cannot reject the claim that the three different methods reduce anxiety equally.
34. Solution:

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Store | 3 | 27023.53 | 9007.843 | 14.738 | $<0.0001$ |
| $\quad$ Family | 6 | 5863.5 | 977.25 | 1.599 | 0.1814 |
| Error | 18 | 11001.57 | 611.20 |  |  |
| Total | 27 | 43888.6 |  |  |  |



Need more exercises?

### 10.4 ANOVA: Factorial Experiments

To complete this section of homework watch Chapter Ten, Lecture Examples 159.1 and 159.2.
38. Consider the graph below of the mean yields for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment, which featured three different fertilizers and two different watering schedules. Do the graphs indicate that the fertilizers and watering schedules interact with each other?

39. Consider the graph below of the mean yields for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment, which featured three different fertilizers and two different sun exposures. Do the graphs indicate that the fertilizers and sun exposures interact with each other?

40. Consider the graph below of the mean yields for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment, which featured three different fertilizers and two different sun exposures. Do the graphs indicate significant main effects for fertilizers and/or sun exposures?

41. Consider the graph below of the mean yields for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment, which featured three different sun exposures and two different watering schedules. Do the graphs indicate that the sun exposures and watering schedules interact with each other?

42. Consider the graph below of the mean yields for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment, which featured three different sun exposures and two different watering schedules. Do the graphs indicate significant main effects for watering schedules and/or sun exposures?

43. Consider the ANOVA table below for a $3 \times 2$ factorial experiment on factors affecting sunflower crop yields, which featured three different fertilizers and two different watering schedules. Complete the ANOVA table and answer the questions that follow.

Factor Information

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Fertilizer | 3 | A, B, C |
| Water | 2 | 1,2 |

Analysis of Variance

|  |  |  |  | F- |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | Value | P-Value |
| Fertilizer | 2 | 12.5903 | $?$ | $?$ | 0.0017 |
| Water | 1 | 0.2222 | 0.2222 | 0.40 | 0.5390 |
| Fertilizer*Water | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Error | $?$ | $?$ | 0.5556 |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 44.0694 |  |  |  |

a) Complete the missing parts of the ANOVA table above.
b) Identify the factors and levels for this experiment.
c) This two-factor factorial experiment can be referred to as a $3 \times 2$. Where does the $3 \times 2$ come from?
d) Give an example of a treatment for this experiment. How many different treatments are there?
e) How many replications were used for this experiment? Why is it necessary to have more than one?
f) What is the $p$-value for the $F$ test statistic related to the interaction effect? What should we conclude about the interaction between these factors?
g) Based on the results of the test for an interaction effect, is it appropriate to test for main effects?
h) What is the next step in the analysis of this experiment's data?
44. Below is a partial ANOVA table below for a $2 \times 3$ factorial experiment conducted by a pickle manufacturer to determine the factors affecting Alum production, which featured three different raw materials and two different agitation speeds. Complete the ANOVA table and answer the questions that follow.

| Factor Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor Levels | Value |  |  |  |  |
| Material 3 | A, B, |  |  |  |  |
| Speed 2 | 1,2 |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis of Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F- <br> Value | P-Value |
| Material | ? | ? | ? | ? | <0.0001 |
| Speed | ? | 0.2222 | 0.2222 | 3.05 | 0.1064 |
| Material*Speed | ? | 0.3611 | 0.1806 | 2.48 | 0.1258 |
| Error | $?$ | 0.8750 | ? |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 47.4861 |  |  |  |

a) Complete the missing parts of the ANOVA table above.
b) Identify the factors and levels for this experiment.
c) Give an example of a treatment for this experiment. How many different treatments are there?
d) How many replications were used for this experiment? Why is it necessary to have more than one?
e) What is the $p$-value for the $F$ test statistic related to the interaction effect? What should we conclude about the interaction between these factors?
f) Based on the results of the test for an interaction effect, is it appropriate to test for main effects?
g) At a 5\% significance level, does the speed of agitation have a significant effect on alum yields?
h) At a $5 \%$ significance level, does the source material significantly affect the yield of alum?
i) Summarize your conclusions for this ANOVA two-factor factorial experiment.
45. An experiment on the effect diet and exercise have on weight loss involved three different diets and two different exercise regimes. The results of the experiment are summarized below. Complete the ANOVA table and answer the questions that follow.

Factor Information

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Diet | 3 | A, B, C |
| Exercise | 2 | 1,2 |

Analysis of Variance

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Diet | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ | 0.0004 |
| Exercise | $?$ | 5.0052 | 5.0052 | $?$ | 0.0323 |
| Diet*Exercise | $?$ | 0.0729 | 0.0365 | 0.06 | 0.9460 |
| Error | $?$ | $?$ | 0.6510 |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 56.0573 |  |  |  |


a) Complete the missing parts of the ANOVA table above.
b) Identify the factors and levels for this experiment.
c) Give an example of a treatment for this experiment. How many different treatments are there?
d) How many replications were used for this experiment? Why is it necessary to have more than one?
e) What does the provided interaction plot indicate?
f) What is the p-value for the F test statistic related to the interaction effect? What should we conclude about the interaction between these factors?
g) Based on the results of the test for an interaction effect, is it appropriate to test for main effects?
h) At a $5 \%$ significance level, does the choice of diet have a significant effect on the amount of weight lost?
i) At a $5 \%$ significance level, does the choice of exercise significantly affect weight loss?
j) Use the provided interaction plot to recommend the most effective weight loss strategy/treatment.
46. Convert the ANOVA table for a $2 \times 2$ factorial experiment into an ANOVA table with only a treatment and error partition of the sum of squares.

| Analysis of Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| A | 1 | 6.12500 | 6.12500 | 15.68 | 0.0167 |
| B | 1 | 0.03125 | 0.03125 | 0.08 | 0.7913 |
| A*B | 1 | 0.12500 | 0.12500 | 0.32 | 0.6018 |
| Error | 4 | 1.56250 | 0.39063 |  |  |
| Total | 7 | 7.84375 |  |  |  |

롱 : indicates the exercise has a video devoted to it in the corresponding section of STATSprofessor.com

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatments |  |  |  |  |
| Error |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

47. Convert the ANOVA table for a $2 \times 3$ factorial experiment into an ANOVA table with only a treatment and error partition of the sum of squares.

| Analysis of Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| A | 1 | 10.0833 | 10.0833 | 23.61 | 0.0028 |
| B | 2 | 5.5417 | 2.7708 | 6.49 | 0.0316 |
| A*B | 2 | 0.1667 | 0.0833 | 0.20 | 0.8278 |
| Error | 6 | 2.5625 | 0.4271 |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 18.3542 |  |  |  |


| Source | Df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatments |  |  |  |  |
| Error |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

### 10.4 Answers

38. Yes, the graph indicates there is an interaction effect, since the lines in the graph are not parallel to each another.
39. No, the graph does not indicate that there is an interaction effect, since the lines of the graph all seem to be parallel to each other.
40. Yes, there seems to be a main effect for both fertilizer and sun exposure. The lines for fertilizers indicate that fertilizer A produces a greater yield than fertilizers B and C at either sun exposure level. We cannot tell if the effect is significant, but it appears to be significant. The same is true for the sun exposures. Sun exposure level 1 seems to produce greater yields across all fertilizers. It is possible the difference between sun exposure levels is not significant, but it appears to be significant in the graph.
41. Since the lines in the graph all seem to be parallel, it does not appear that there is an interaction effect.
42. It appears that there are significant differences between sun exposure levels, but the lines for the water schedule levels appear to have little separation or difference. This leads us to suspect that sun exposures levels produce significantly different yields, but different water schedule levels do not produce significantly different yields.
43. a.

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | V- <br> Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fertilizer | 2 | 12.5903 | 6.2952 | 11.330 | 0.0017 |
| Water | 1 | 0.2222 | 0.2222 | 0.40 | 0.5390 |
| Fertilizer*Water | 2 | 24.5897 | 12.2949 | 22.129 | $<0.0001$ |
| Error | 12 | 6.6672 | 0.5556 |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 44.0694 |  |  |  |

b. This information was given:

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Fertilizer | 3 | A, B, C |
| Water | 2 | 1,2 |

c. The $3 \times 2$ is a reference to the fact that the first factor affecting the response has three different levels and the second factor has two levels.
d. Fertilizer A paired with water scheme 1 is an example of a treatment for this experiment. There are six different possible pairings of the fertilizers to water schemes, so there are six different possible treatments.
e. Each treatment was applied three times because we have six treatments and $n=18$. It is necessary to have more than one replication per treatment so that we have an error term for comparison.
f. The $p$-value for the interaction effect is less than 0.0001 . It appears that there is a significant interaction effect.
g. Since there is an interaction effect, we will not test for main effects.
h. Since there is an interaction effect, we should proceed to a multiple comparison procedure for all pairs of the treatment means.
44. a.

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Material | 2 | 46.0278 | 23.0139 | 315.62 | $<0.0001$ |
| $\quad$ Speed | 1 | 0.2222 | 0.2222 | 3.05 | 0.1064 |
| $\quad$ Material*Speed | 2 | 0.3611 | 0.1806 | 2.48 | 0.1258 |
| Error | 12 | 0.8750 | 0.0729 |  |  |
| Total | 17 | 47.4861 |  |  |  |

b. This information was given:

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Material | 3 | A, B, C |
| Speed | 2 | 1,2 |

20. 

c. Material A agitated at speed 1 is an example of a treatment for this experiment. There are six different possible pairings of the materials to agitation speeds, so there are six different possible treatments.
d. Each treatment was applied three times because we have six treatments and $n=18$. It is necessary to have more than one replication per treatment so that we have an error term for comparison.
e. The p-value for the interaction effect is 0.1258 . It does not appear that there is a significant interaction effect.
f. Since there does not appear to be an interaction effect, we should test for main effects.
$g$. Since the $p$-value is greater than 0.05 , it appears that the speed of agitation does not have a significant effect on alum yields.
h. Since the $p$-value is much less than 0.05 , it appears that the source material does significantly affect the yield of alum.
i. The results of the experiment indicate that the source material has a significant impact on alum yields, but the speed of agitation during production does not have a significant effect. It also appears that there is not an interaction effect between these two factors, so the finding that the source material matters will hold regardless of the speed of agitation employed.
45. a.

| Source | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Diet | 2 | 47.0729 | 23.5365 | 36.15 | 0.0004 |
| Exercise | 1 | 5.0052 | 5.0052 | 7.69 | 0.0323 |
| Diet*Exercise | 2 | 0.0729 | 0.0365 | 0.06 | 0.9460 |
| Error | 6 | 3.9063 | 0.6510 |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 56.0573 |  |  |  |

b. This information was given:

| Factor | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Diet | 3 | A, B, C |
| Exercise | 2 | 1,2 |

c. Diet A paired with exercise routine 1 is an example of a treatment for this experiment. There are six different possible pairings of the diets to exercise programs, so there are six different possible treatments.
d. Each treatment was applied two times because we have six treatments and $n=12$. It is necessary to have more than one replication per treatment so that we have an error term for comparison.
e. Since all of the lines are parallel in the plot, it appears there is no interaction effect.
f . The p -value for the F test statistic related to the interaction effect is very large, so it appears that there is no interaction between these two factors.
g. We should test for main effects, since there does not appear to be an interaction effect.
h. Since the $p$-value is much less than 0.05 , the choice of diet seems to have a significant effect on the amount of weight lost.
i. The $p$-value is less than 0.05 , so the choice of exercise seems to significantly affect weight loss at the $5 \%$ level of significance.
j. Based on what can be seen in the provided interaction plot, it appears the most affective weight loss strategy is diet B paired with exercise 2.
46. solution

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatments | 3 | 6.28125 | 2.09375 | 5.36 |
| Error | 4 | 1.56250 | 0.390625 |  |
| Total | 7 | 7.84375 |  |  |

47. solution:

| Source | Df | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treatments | 5 | 15.7917 | 3.15834 | 7.3951 |
| Error | 6 | 2.5625 | 0.4271 |  |
| Total | 11 | 18.3542 |  |  |

## Chapter 10 Mixed Review

48. During a CRD ANOVA procedure for an experiment with an unbalanced design comparing five different means, the conclusion is to reject the null hypothesis. If a multiple comparison procedure is to be used to make pairwise comparisons, which procedure would be best Tukey, Bonferroni, or Scheffe? How many comparisons would be made during this procedure?
49. The following data are from an experiment to determine the effectiveness of creatine as a supplement for endurance athletes. Assuming no effect from the interaction between subject and brand, complete the table below in order to test the claim that the brands of creatine all have the same effect on the time to failure. Use a 0.01 significance level.

|  | Brand A | Brand B | Brand C | Brand D | Totals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subject 1 | 120.3 | 120.5 | 119.8 | 116.7 | 477.3 |
| Subject 2 | 131.9 | 132.5 | 129.6 | 125.1 | 519.1 |
| Subject 3 | 115.2 | 118.1 | 113.5 | 112.4 | 459.2 |
| Totals | 367.4 | 371.1 | 362.9 | 354.2 | 1455.6 |


| Source | d.f. | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brand |  | 53.06 |  |  |
| Subject |  | 471.905 |  |  |
| Error |  |  |  | XXX |
| Total | 11 | 533.68 | XXX | XXX |

50. Three types of loans produce the following data:

| Loan A | Loan B | Loan C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 102 | 115 | 125 |
| 105 | 119 | 115 |
| 110 | 107 | 110 |
| 112 | 110 | 105 |
| 107 | 109 | 117 |
| 108 | 108 | 120 |
| 109 | 112 | 121 |
| 753 | 780 | 813 |
| $\sum \sum y=2346, \sum y^{2}=262,796$ |  |  |

Use the treatment totals and the given values to find the test stat and critical value to test (at the $2.5 \%$ significance level) the claim that the three different loan types produce the same average profit.
51. The following ANOVA table summarizes the analysis of a $3 \times 3$ experiment that considered the effects of the number of days of study ( 1,2, or 3 ) and the number of exercises completed ( 10 , $20,30)$ per study day on Calculus final exam scores. What conclusions can you draw from the analysis?
ANOVA table

| Source | SS | $d f$ | $M S$ | $F$ | $p$-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Factor 1 | 991.19 | 2 | 495.593 | 13.94 | .0002 |
| Factor 2 | $6,127.63$ | 2 | $3,063.815$ | 86.17 | $6.05 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| Interaction | 198.37 | 4 | 49.593 | 1.39 | .2755 |
| Error | 640.00 | 18 | 35.556 |  |  |
| Total | $7,957.19$ | 26 |  |  |  |

52. The following computer output was provided for a CRD experiment to determine if the wait times for the lines of four different cashiers are the same on average. What can you conclude from the output?

| Source | d.f. | SS | MS | F | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cashier | 3 | 102.30 | 34.1 | 12.724 | 0.00000512 |
| Error | 41 | 110.06 | 2.68 | XXX | XXX |
| Total | 44 | 212.36 | XXX | XXX | XXX |

53. I ran an ANOVA CRD, F-test to test the claim that the four different paints all produce the same average drying time. We were able to reject that claim, so we then made pairwise comparisons. Use the pairwise comparisons below to put the means in order from lowest to highest, be sure to draw a line above the means that are not significantly different.
$\mu_{A}-\mu_{B}=(-2,5)$
$\mu_{A}-\mu_{C}=(7,14)$
$\mu_{A}-\mu_{D}=(-10,-3)$
$\mu_{B}-\mu_{C}=(1,8)$
$\mu_{B}-\mu_{D}=(-12,-5)$
$\mu_{C}-\mu_{D}=(-17,-10)$
54. Complete the ANOVA table:

ANOVA table

| Source | $S S$ | $d f$ | $M S$ | $F$ | $p$-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Factor 1 |  | 2 | 802.778 | 41.05 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| Factor 2 | $3,002.00$ | 2 |  | 76.76 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| Interaction |  |  | 23.111 |  | .3521 |
| Error | $5,052.00$ | 26 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

55. Considering the provided ANOVA table above, is there a significant effect from the interaction? Should you test to see if there is a significant effect due to either factor 1 or 2 ? If the answer is yes, is there a significant effect due to either factor 1 or 2 ?

## Chapter 10 Mixed Review Answers:

48. Bonferroni will be used to make 10 comparisons.
49. The test stat is $\mathrm{F}=12.1769$; the critical value is 9.780 . Reject the claim that the brands all have the same effect... The time to failure appears to be different depending on the brand of creatine used.

| Source | d.f. | SS | MS | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brand | 3 | 53.06 | 17.687 | 12.1769 |
| Subject | 2 | 471.905 | 235.9525 | 162.4458 |
| Error | 6 | 8.715 | 1.4525 | XXX |
| Total | 11 | 533.68 | XXX | XXX |

50. The SST $=258$ ( with d.f. $=2$ ). The SSE $=456.286$ (with d.f. $=18$ ). The test statistic is $F=5.0889$, and the critical value is 4.5597 . You should reject the claim that the loans have the same average profit.
51. The data indicates that there is no interaction effect, because the $p$-value is large for the interaction test statistic. However, it seems that both of the main effects are significant because both $p$-values are small. Therefore, both the number of days of study and the number of exercises completed each day are significant.
52. The p-value is less than any reasonable significance level, so we reject the null hypothesis. The cashiers seem to have different average wait times.
53. $C \overline{B A} \mathrm{D}$
54. Table:

ANOVA table

| Source | $S S$ | $d f$ | $M S$ | $F$ | $p$-value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Factor 1 | $1,605.56$ | 2 | 802.778 | 41.05 | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| Factor 2 | $3,002.00$ | 2 | $1,501.000$ | 76.76 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| Interaction | 92.44 | 4 | 23.111 | 1.18 | .3521 |
| Error | 352.00 | 18 | 19.556 |  |  |
| Total | $5,052.00$ | 26 |  |  |  |

55. Since the interaction test statistic has a large $p$-value, there is no interaction effect, and we should test for the main effects. Both main effects (factor 1 and factor 2 ) appear to be significant since both of the $p$-values are extremely small.
